

## MEDIA AND HEALTH INFORMATION: ANALYZING SOURCES, TRUST AND USAGE PATTERNS

**G.Ramasudha,** Faculty, Department of Communication and Journalism, Yogi Vemana University, Kadapa, Andhra Pradesh, India

**Neelima BN**, *Professor*, *Department of Communication and Journalism*, *Sri Padmavati Mahila Visvavidyalayam*, *Tirupati*, *Andhra Pradesh*, *India* 

#### Abstract

Access to reliable health information is essential for informed decision-making and improved public health outcomes. With the proliferation of media platforms, individuals obtain healthrelated knowledge from a variety of sources, including traditional media, digital platforms, and government initiatives. This study explores how people use media to access health information, analyzing the credibility of different sources and the factors influencing information-seeking behavior. It examines the role of television, newspapers, social media, and online health portals in disseminating medical knowledge and promoting awareness of government health schemes. Additionally, the study highlights the challenges posed by misinformation and disparities in digital literacy, which impact individuals' ability to assess and apply health information effectively. Findings suggest that while digital platforms have significantly improved access to health knowledge, a gap remains in ensuring accuracy and public trust in media-based health communication. Strengthening media literacy initiatives and promoting credible health sources can enhance public awareness and contribute to better healthcare decisions.

Keywords: Health information, Media, Source Credibility

#### Introduction

Access to accurate and reliable health information is crucial for individuals to make informed decisions about their well-being. The sources of health information have expanded significantly in recent years, ranging from traditional media and healthcare professionals to digital platforms and social networks. Research indicates that people rely on multiple channels to obtain health-related knowledge, with digital media playing an increasingly dominant role (Smith et al., 2021).

Traditional sources of health information include physicians, nurses, pharmacists, and community health workers, who provide expert advice based on medical evidence (Anderson & Williams, 2020). Print media, such as newspapers and health magazines, have historically been valuable in

disseminating public health messages. However, with technological advancements, the internet has emerged as a primary source of health information. Websites managed by government agencies, hospitals, and research institutions offer credible and up-to-date health guidelines (WHO, 2022). Meanwhile, social media platforms allow individuals to share experiences and recommendations, though concerns about misinformation remain (Chou et al., 2018).

The use of health information is not limited to personal well-being; it also extends to awareness of government schemes related to healthcare. Many governments, including India's Ministry of Health and Family Welfare, provide information on schemes such as Ayushman Bharat, maternal health programs, and vaccination drives through websites, mobile applications, and mass media campaigns (MoHFW, 2023). Studies suggest that effective dissemination of government health schemes can enhance public participation, increase awareness, and improve health outcomes (Patel et al., 2021).

Despite the availability of various sources, accessibility and trust in health information remain key challenges. Individuals with higher digital literacy are more likely to verify information from multiple sources, whereas others may be influenced by misleading or incomplete data (Jones & Baker, 2019). Strengthening public awareness initiatives and promoting credible health information sources can help bridge the gap in health knowledge and improve healthcare accessibility.

The media plays a crucial role in shaping public perceptions of health and disseminating essential health information. People rely on various forms of media, including traditional channels such as newspapers, television, and radio, as well as digital platforms like websites, social media, and mobile applications, to access health-related content (Smith et al., 2021). The rapid advancement of communication technology has made health information more accessible than ever, allowing individuals to stay informed about diseases, preventive measures, and medical advancements.

Traditional media, including print and broadcast journalism, has historically been a trusted source of health information. Government health agencies, public health organizations, and healthcare professionals often use television and radio broadcasts to communicate public health messages, particularly during health crises (Anderson & Williams, 2020). Print media, such as newspapers and magazines, provide in-depth reports on medical research, policy changes, and lifestyle recommendations. Despite the reliability of traditional media, its reach can be limited due to literacy barriers and access to publications (WHO, 2022).

The rise of digital media has transformed how people consume health information. The internet serves as a vast repository of medical knowledge, with search engines allowing users

to find health-related articles, research studies, and expert opinions (Chou et al., 2018). Social media platforms like Facebook, Twitter, and YouTube have also become significant sources of health information, where individuals share personal experiences, discuss medical treatments, and seek advice from online communities (Patel et al., 2021). However, the spread of misinformation on digital platforms remains a challenge, requiring users to critically evaluate sources and verify claims with credible institutions (Jones & Baker, 2019).

Mobile health (mHealth) applications and government-backed digital initiatives have further enhanced access to reliable health information. Many countries, including India, have launched mobile apps and websites that provide updates on public health schemes, disease outbreaks, and vaccination programs (MoHFW, 2023). Studies suggest that integrating digital health literacy into public education can help individuals make informed healthcare decisions and avoid unreliable sources (Patel et al., 2021).

Despite the accessibility of media-based health information, disparities in digital access, health literacy, and trust in media sources continue to affect how individuals interpret and apply health knowledge. Promoting media literacy and strengthening the credibility of health communication can ensure that people receive accurate, evidence-based health information, ultimately leading to better public health outcomes.

#### Methodology

The study was conducted in Kadapa district of Andhra Pradesh to ascertain the information sources of people for accessing health information. A structured questionnaire was used to obtain responses from a sample of 200 men and 150 women. A household survey was conducted with a sample size of 350 drawn using a stratified random sample method.

#### **Research Questions**

- 1. To find the Association between Age, Gender, Education and Occupation of respondents and their Source of Health Information
- 2. To find the Association between Age, Gender, Education and Occupation of respondents and the Media they use to obtain Health Information
- 3. To find the Association between Age, Gender, Education and Occupation of respondents and trusted source of Health Information

### Findings

		Gender		Total	Chi-Square
		Male	Female		
Family and friends	Yes	192	147	339	2 1 10 6
		(54.85%)	(42.00%)	(96.85%)	$\chi^2 = 1.126$
	No	8	3	11	P value=0.009
		(2.28%)	(0.85%)	(3.14%)	
	Total	200	150	350	
		(57.14%)	(42.83%)	(100.00%)	
NGO's/ Extent ion	Yes	22	16	38	χ <sup>2</sup> =0.010
Workers		(6.28%)	(4.57%)	(10.85%)	P value=0.532
	No	178	134	312	
		(50.85%)	(38.28%)	(89.14%)	
Total		200	150	350	
		(57.14%)	(42.83%)	(100.00%)	
Primary Health	Yes	177	150	327	$\chi^2 = 18.463$
Centers		(50.57%)	(42.85%)	(93.42%)	P value=0.01*
	No	23	0	23	
		(6.57%)	(0.00%)	(6.57%)	
Total		200	150	350	
		(57.14%)	(42.83%)	(100.00%)	
Newspapers	Yes	137	116	253	χ <sup>2</sup> =3.338
		(39.14%)	(33.14%)	(72.28%)	P value=0.003*
	No	63	34	97	
		(18.00%)	(9.71%)	(27.71%)	
Total		200	150	350	
		(57.14%)	(42.83%)	(100.00%)	
Magazines	Yes	39	30	69	$\chi^2 = 0.014$
		(11.14%)	(8.57%)	(19.71%)	P value=0.506
	No	161	120	281	
		(46.00%)	(34.28%)	(80.28%)	-
Total		200	150	350	
		(57.14%)	(42.83%)	(100.00%)	2.0.016
Radio	Yes	69	65	134	$\chi^2 = 0.016$
-	<b>N</b> T	(19.71%)	(18.57%)	(38.28%)	P value=0.008
	No	131	85	216	
		(37.42%)	(24.28%)	(61.71%)	-
I otal		200	150	350	
T 1 · ·	<b>X</b> 7	(57.14%)	(42.83%)	(100.00%)	2 2 2 2 7
Television	Yes	15/	(26.950/)	280	$\chi^{2}=3.227$
-	Ne	(44.83%)	(30.83%)	(81./1%)	P value=0.001
	INO	43 (12.280/)	(6 009/)	(19, 290/)	
Total		(12.2670)	(0.0076)	(10.2670)	
Total		(57.14%)	(12.83%)	(100.00%)	
Films	Vac	24	(42.8370)	(100.0070)	w <sup>2</sup> -3 227
1 mins	105	(6.85%)	(4 57%)	(11.42%)	$\chi = 5.227$
	No	176	134	310	1 value=0.040
	INO	(50.28%)	(38 28%)	(88 57%)	
		(30.2070)	(30.2070)	(00.3770)	
Total		200	150	350	
		(57.14%)	(42.83%)	(100.00%)	
		1		1	1

#### Table.1-Association between Gender and Source of Health Information

Folk Media	Yes	12	14	26	$\chi^2 = 1.385$
		(3.42%)	(4.00%)	(7.42%)	P value=0.166
	No	188	136	324	
		(53.71%)	(38.85%)	(92.57%)	
Total		200	150	350	
		(57.14%)	(42.83%)	(100.00%)	
Internet	Yes	106	89	195	$\chi^2 = 1.393$
		(30.28%)	(25.42%)	(55.71%)	P value=0.002*
	No	94	61	155	
		(26.85%	(17.42%)	(44.28%)	
Total		200	150	350	
		(57.14%)	(42.83%)	(100.00%)	
Apps on Smart	Yes	130	105	235	$\chi^2 = 0.971$
Phones		(37.14%)	(30.00%)	(67.14%)	P value=0.003*
	No	70	45	115	
		(20.00%)	(12.85%)	(32.85%)	
Total		200	150	350	
		(57.14%)	(42.83%)	(100.00%)	

\* =Significant at 0.005 level

The above table shows the relation between print/electronic/traditional/new media and the health information obtained by the gender respondents.

There is a relation between the gender of the respondents and their use of internet, apps on smart phones, watching television, newspapers, primary health centers and family and friends(p<0.05). There is no relation between the gender of the respondents and reading magazines, listening radio, watching Films, watching folk media and use NGO's/Extension workers(p>0.05).

Table.2. Association between Age and Media Source of Health Information

			Age		Total	Chi-Square
		Below 36	36-45 years	Above 45		
		years		years		
Family and	Yes	145	97	97	339	$\chi^2 = 0.031$
friends		(41.42%)	(27.71%)	(27.71%)	(96.85%)	P value=0.005*
	No	5	3	3	11	
		(1.42%)	(0.85%)	(0.85%)	(3.14%)	
	Total	150	100	100	350	
		(42.85%)	(28.57%)	(28.57%)	(100.00%)	
NGO's/ Extent ion	Yes	14	9	15	38	χ <sup>2</sup> =2.490
Workers		(4.00%)	(2.57%)	(4.28%)	(10.85%)	P value=0.185
	No	136	91	85	312	
		(38.85%)	(26.00%)	(24.28%)	(89.14%	
Total		150	100	100	350	
		(42.85%)	(28.57%)	(28.57%)	(100.00%)	
Primary Health	Yes	140	93	94	327	χ²=0.085
Centers		(40.00%)	(26.57%)	(26.85%)	(93.42%)	P value=0.003*
	No	10	7	6	23	
		(2.85%)	(2.00%)	(1.71%)	(6.57%)	
Total		150	100	100	350	
		(42.85%)	(28.57%)	(28.57%)	(100.00%)	

Newspapers	Yes	117	65	71	253	χ <sup>2</sup> =5.177
		(33.42%)	(18.57%)	(20.28%)	(72.28%)	P value=0.003*
	No	33	45	29	107	
		(9.42%)	(12.85%)	(8.28%)	(30.57%)	
Total		150	100	100	350	
		(42.85%)	(28.57%)	(28.57%)	(100.00%)	
Magazines	Yes	29	19	21	69	$\chi^2 = 0.150$
		(8.28%)	(5.42%)	(6.00%)	(19.71%)	P value=0.765
	No	121	81	79	281	
		(34.57%)	(23.14%)	(2.57%)	(80.28%)	
Total		150	100	100	350	
	1	(42.85%)	(28.57%)	(28.57%)	(100.00%)	
Radio	Yes	60	36	38	134	$\chi^2 = 0.411$
		(17.14%)	(10.28%)	(10.85%)	(38.28%)	P value=0.706
	No	90	64	62	216	
		(25.71%)	(18.28%)	(17.71%)	(61.71%)	
Total		150	100	100	350	
		(42.85%)	(28.57%)	(28.57%)	(100.00%)	
Television	Yes	122	79	85	286	χ <sup>2</sup> =1.230
		(34.85%)	(22.57%)	(24.28%)	(81.71%)	P value=0.003
	No	28	21	15	64	
		(8.00%)	(6.00%)	(4.28%)	(18.28%)	
Total		150	100	100	350	
		(42.85%)	(28.57%)	(28.57%)	(100.00%)	
Films	Yes	17	14	9	40	χ <sup>2</sup> =1.237
		(4.85%)	(4.00%)	(2.57%)	(11.42%)	P value=0.645
	No	133	86	91	310	
		(38.00%)	(24.57%)	(26.00%)	(88.57%)	
Total		150	100	100	350	
		(42.85%)	(28.57%)	(28.57%)	(100.00%)	
Folk Media	Yes	14	6	6	26	χ <sup>2</sup> =1.385
		(4.00%)	(1.71%)	(1.71%)	(7.42%)	P value=0.295
	No	136	94	94	324	
		(38.85%)	(26.85%)	(26.85%)	(92.57%)	
Total		150	100	100	350	
		(42.85%)	(28.57%)	(28.57%)	(100.00%)	
Internet	Yes	91	50	54	195	χ <sup>2</sup> =2.934
		(26.00%)	(14.28%)	(15.42%)	(55.71%)	P value=0.008*
	No	59	50	46	155	
		(16.85%)	(14.28%)	(13.14%)	(44.28%)	
Total		150	100	100	350	
		(42.85%)	(28.57%)	(28.57%)	(100.00%)	
Apps on Smart	Yes	112	64	59	235	χ <sup>2</sup> =0.971
Phones		(32.00%)	(18.28%)	(16.85%)	(67.14%)	P value=0.002*
	No	38	36	41	115	
		(10.85%)	(10.28%)	(11.71%)	(32.85%)	
Total		150	100	100	350	
1						
		(42.85%)	(28.57%)	(28.57%)	(100.00%)	

\* =Significant at 0.005 level

The above table shows the relation between print/electronic/traditional/new media and the health information obtained by the Age respondents.

There is a relation between the age of the respondents and their use of internet, apps on smart phones, newspapers, watching television, primary health centers and family and friends for

getting health information(p<0.05). There is no relation between the age of the respondents and reading magazines, listening Radio, watching Films, watching folk media and use NGO's/Extension workers for getting health information(p>0.05).

Most Female Respondents use family and friends, internet, Apps on smart phones, newspapers, watching television and primary health centers for health information. Similarly, more and more male respondents are using these media for health information. Very few female and male respondents depended magazines, radio, films, folk media and NGO's/ Extension workers for getting health information.

		Occupation				Total	Chi-Square
		Agriculture	Employee	Business	Homemakers	-	
Family	Yes	88	163	58	30	339	
and		(25.14%)	(46.57%)	(16.57%)	(8.57%)	(96.85%)	2 1 0 2 2
friends	No	2	7	2	0	11	$\chi^{2}=1.032$
		(0.57%)	(2.00%)	(0.57%)	(0.00%)	(3.14%)	P
		90	170	60	30	350	value=0.001*
Tot	al	(25.71%)	(48.57%)	(17.14%)	(8.57%)	(100.00%)	
NGO's/	Yes	10	19	5	4	38	$\chi^2 = 0.092$
Extent ion	n	(2.85%)	(5.42%)	(1.42%)	(1.14%)	(10.85%)	P value=0.811
Workers	No	80	151	55	26	312	
		(22.85%)	(43.14%)	(15.71%)	(7.42%)	(89.14%)	
Tot	al	90	170	60	30	350	
		(25.71%)	(48.57%)	(17.14%)	(8.57%)	(100.00%)	
Primary	Yes	89	156	42	20	307	$\chi^2 = 5.924$
Health		(25.42%)	(4457%)	(12.00%)	(5.71%)	(87.71%)	Р
Centers	No	1	14	18	10	43	value=0.005*
		(0.28%)	(4.00%)	(5.14%)	(2.85%)	(12.28%)	
Tot	al	90	170	60	30	350	
		(25.71%)	(48.57%)	(17.14%)	(8.57%)	(100.00%)	
Newspa	Yes	54	135	44	20	253	$\chi^2 = 11.152$
pers		(15.4%)	(38.57%)	(12.57%)	(5.71%)	(72.28%)	Р
	No	36	35	16	10	97	value=0.006*
		(10.28%)	(10.00%)	(4.57%)	(2.85%)	(27.71%)	
Tot	al	90	170	60	30	350	
		(25.71%)	(48.57%)	(17.14%)	(8.57%)	(100.00%)	
Magazin	Yes	13	34	11	1	69	$\chi^2 = 2.860$
es		(3.71%)	(9.71%)	(3.14%)	(0.28%)	(19.71%)	P value=0.092
	No	77	136	49	19	281	
		(22.00%)	(38.85%)	(14.00%)	(5.42%)	(80.28%)	
Tot	al	90	170	60	30	350	
		(25.71%)	(48.57%)	(17.14%)	(8.57%)	(100.00%)	-
Radio	Yes	32	70	20	12	134	$\chi^2 = 1.169$
		(9.14%)	(20.00%)	(5.71%)	(3.42%)	(38.28%)	P value=1.00
	No	58	100	40	18	216	
		(16.57%)	(28.57%)	(11.42%)	(5.14%)	(61.71%)	
Tot	al	90	170	60	30	350	
		(25.71%)	(48.57%)	(17.14%)	(8.57%)	(100.00%)	

Table.3. Association between Occupation and Media Source of Health Information

Televisi	Yes	79	134	53	20	286	χ <sup>2</sup> =3.187
on		(22.57%)	(38.28%)	(15.14%)	(5.7%)	(81.71%)	P value=0.003
	No	11	36	7	10	64	
		(3.14%)	(10.28%)	(2.00%)	(2.85%)	(18.28%)	
Tot	al	90	170	60	30	350	
		(25.71%)	(48.57%)	(17.14%)	(8.57%)	(100.00%)	
Films	Yes	9	20	6	5	40	$\chi^2 = 0.256$
		(2.57%)	(5.71%)	(1.71%)	(1.42%)	(11.42%)	P value=0.640
	No	81	50	54	25	310	
		(23.14%)	(14.28%)	(15.42%)	(7.14%)	(88.57%)	
Tot	al	90	170	60	30	350	
		(25.71%)	(48.57%)	(17.14%)	(8.57%)	(100.00%)	
Folk	Yes	8	14	2	2	26	$\chi^2 = 1.605$
Media		(2.28%)	(4.00%)	(0.57%)	(0.57%)	(7.42%)	P value=0.256
	No	82	156	58	28	324	
		(23.42%)	(44.57%)	(16.57%)	(8.00%)	(92.57%)	
Tot	al	90	170	60	30	350	
		(25.71%)	(48.57%)	(17.14%)	(8.57%)	(100.00%)	
Internet	Yes	29	111	35	20	195	$\chi^2 = 27.516$
		(8.28%)	(31.71%)	(10.00%)	(5.71%)	(55.71%)	P value=0.01*
	No	61	59	25	10	155	
		(17.42%)	(16.85%)	(7.14%)	(2.85%)	(44.28%)	
Tot	al	90	170	60	30	350	
		(25.71%)	(48.57%)	(17.14%)	(8.57%)	(100.00%)	
Apps on	Yes	41	131	43	20	235	$\chi^2 = 26.921$
Smart		(11.71%)	(37.42%)	(12.28%)	(5.71%)	(67.14%)	P value=0.02*
Phones	No	49	39	17	10	115	
		(14.00%)	(11.14%)	(4.85%)	(2.85%)	(32.85%)	
Tot	al	90	170	60	30	350	
		(25.71%)	(48.57%)	(17.14%)	(8.57%)	(100.00%)	

\* =Significant at 0.005 level

The above table shows the relation between print/electronic/traditional/new media and the health information obtained by the occupational respondents.

There is a relation between the occupation of the respondents and their use of internet, apps on smart phones, watching television, newspapers, primary health centers and family and friends for getting health information(p<0.05). There is no relation between the occupation of the respondents and reading magazines, listening Radio, watching Films, watching folk media and use NGO's/Extension workers for getting health information(p>0.05).

Most of the employees depended internet, apps on smart phones, watching television, newspapers, primary health centers and family and friends for getting health information. Very few home makers depended internet, apps on smart phones, watching television, newspapers, primary health centers and family and friends for getting health information.

			Educa	ation		Total	Chi-Square
		Primarv	Secondary	Degree	Illiterates		
Family and	Yes	57	87	165	30	339	
friends		(16.28%)	(24.85%)	(47.14%)	(8.57%)	(96.85%)	
	No	3	3	5	0	11	$\chi^2 = 2.090$
		(0.85%)	(0.85%)	(1.42%)	(0.00%)	(3.14%)	P value=0.001*
		60	90	170	30	350	
Total		(17.14%)	(25.71%)	(48.57%)	(8.57%)	(100.00%)	
NGO's/	Yes	9	10	16	3	38	$\chi^2 = 1.478$
Extension		(2.57%)	(2.85%)	(4.57%)	(0.85%)	(10.85%)	P value=0.315
Workers	No	51	80	154	27	312	
		(14.57%)	(22.85%)	(44.00%)	(7.71%)	(89.14%)	-
Total		60	90	170	30	350	
	1	(17.14%)	(25.71%)	(48.57%)	(8.57%)	(100.00%)	
Primary	Yes	56	84	164	23	327	$\chi^2 = 2.153$
Health Centers		(16.00%)	(24.00%)	(46.85%)	(6.57%)	(93.42%)	P value=0.003*
	No	4	6	6	7	23	
		(1.14%)	(1.71%)	(1.71%)	(2.00%)	(6.57%)	-
Total		60	90	170	30	350	
	**	(17.14%)	(25.71%)	(48.57%)	(8.57%)	(100.00%)	2 42 00 5
Newspapers	Yes	40		136	0	253	$\chi^2 = 43.905$
		(11.42%)	(22.00%)	(38.85%)	(0.00%)	(72.28%)	P value=0.008*
	No	20	13	34	30	9/	
T - 4 - 1		(5./1%)	(3./1%)	(9./1%)	(8.57%)	(27.71%)	-
1 otai		60	90	1/0	30 (8.570/)	350	
Magazinag	Vac	(17.1470)	(23./170)	(48.3770)	(8.37%)	(100.00%)	w <sup>2</sup> -2.045
wiagazines	res	(2.85%)	(5 42%)	(11.42%)	(0,00%)	(10,71%)	$\chi = 5.945$
	No	50	71	130	30	281	1 value=0.504
	110	(14.28%)	(20, 28%)	(37 14%)	(8 57%)	(80.28%)	
Total		60	90	170	30	350	-
1 otur		(17.14%)	(25 71%)	(48 57%)	(8 57%)	(100.00%)	
Radio	Yes	30	30	64	10	134	$\gamma^2 = 14.048$
	100	(8.57%)	(8.57%)	(18.28%)	(2.85%)	(38.28%)	P value=0.965
	No	30	60	106	20	216	
		(8.57%)	(17.14%)	(30.28%)	(5.71%)	(61.71%)	
Total	•	60	90	170	30	350	
		(17.14%)	(25.71%)	(48.57%)	(8.57%)	(100.00%)	
Television	Yes	50	72	134	30	286	χ <sup>2</sup> =20.085
		(14.28%)	(20.57%)	(39.71%)	(8.57%)	(81.71%)	P value=0.002
	No	10	18	36	0	64	
		(2.85%)	(5.14%)	(8.85%)	(0.00%)	(18.28%)	
Total		60	90	170	30	350	
	1	(17.14%)	(25.71%)	(48.57%)	(8.57%)	(100.00%)	-
Films	Yes	9	8	19	4	40	χ <sup>2</sup> =1.526
		(2.57%)	(2.28%)	(5.42%)	(1.14%)	(11.42%)	P value=0.866
	No	51	82	151	26	310	
		(14.57%)	(23.42%)	(43.14%)	(7.42%)	(88.57%)	-
Total		60	90	170	30	350	
	37	(17.14%)	(25./1%)	(48.57%)	(8.5/%)	(100.00%)	2 2 405
Folk Media	Yes	6		9	$\frac{3}{(0.850/)}$	$\frac{26}{(7.429/)}$	$\chi^2 = 2.485$
	Nc	(1./1%) 54	(2.28%)	(2.37%)	(U.83%)	(7.42%)	r value=0.460
		(15.420/)	(22, 420/)		(7,710/)	524	
Total		(13.42%)	(23.42%)	(40.00%)	(/./1%)	(92.37%)	-
Total		(17, 14%)	90 (25 71%)	(48.57%)	50 (8 57%)	330 (100.00%)	
		(1/.14/0)	(23./1/0)	(+0.3770)	(0.5770)	(100.0070)	

#### Table.4. Association between Education and Media Source of Health Information

© April 2025   JYANAVI	Volume 1 Issue	2   SPMVV
------------------------	----------------	-----------

Internet	Yes	50	51	94	0	195	$\chi^2 = 29.229$
		(14.28%)	(14.57%)	(26.85%)	(0.00%)	(55.71%)	P value=0.01*
	No	10	39	76	30	155	
		(2.85%)	(11.14%)	(21.71%)	(8.57%)	(44.28%)	
Total		60	90	170	30	350	
		(17.14%)	(25.71%)	(48.57%)	(8.57%)	(100.00%)	
Apps on Smart	Yes	42	64	129	0	235	$\chi^2 = 20.608$
Phones		(12.00%)	(18.28%)	(36.85%)	(0.00%)	(67.14%)	P value=0.007*
	No	18	26	41	30	115	
		(5.14%)	(7.42%)	(11.71%)	(8.57%)	(32.85%)	
Total		60	90	170	30	350	
		(17.14%)	(25.71%)	(48.57%)	(8.57%)	(100.00%)	
		(17.14%)	(25./1%)	(48.3/%)	(8.3/%)	(100.00%)	

\* =Significant at 0.005 level, \*\*= Significance at 0.001 level

The above table shows the relation between print/electronic/traditional/new media and the health information obtained by the educational respondents.

There is a relation between the education of the respondents and their use of internet, apps on smart phones, watching television, newspapers, primary health centers and family and friends for getting health information(p<0.05). There is no relation between the education of the respondents and reading magazines, listening Radio, watching Films, watching folk media and use NGO's/Extension workers for getting health information (p>0.05).

Most of the degree holders depended internet, apps on smart phones, watching television, newspapers, primary health centers and family and friends for getting health information. Most of the illiterates depended only family and friends for getting health information.

Majority of the respondents getting health information from friends and family, primary health centers. At the same time, they rely on newspapers, television, the internet and mobiles. So respondents are getting health information not only through media but also any other ways.

The development communication mode like television, radio, internet, apps are making an positive and significant impact on women health, children health, vaccination, hygienic and etc.

		Ger	nder	Total	Chi-Square
		Male	Female		
Family and friends	Yes	192	143	338	
		(54.85%)	(41.42%)	(96.57%)	
	No	8	4	12	$\chi^2 = 1.126$
		(2.28%)	(1.42%)	(3.42%)	P value=0.009*
	Total	200	150	350	
		(57.14%)	(42.83%)	(100.00%)	
NGO's/ Extent ion	Yes	22	15	37	χ <sup>2</sup> =0.010
Workers		(6.28%)	(4.00%)	(10.57%)	P value=0.532
	No	178	135	313	
		(50.85%)	(38.85%)	(89.42%)	
Total		200	150	350	
		(57.14%)	(42.83%)	(100.00%)	
Primary Health	Yes	174	142	326	$\chi^2 = 18.463$
Centers		(50.57%)	(40.57%)	(93.14%)	P value=0.01*
	No	26	8	24	
		(6.57%)	(2.28%)	(6.85%)	4
Total		200	150	350	
		(57.14%)	(42.83%)	(100.00%)	2.0.000
Newspapers	Yes	137	105	252	$\chi^2 = 3.338$
-	<b>N</b> 7	(39.14%)	(32.00%)	(69.14%)	P value=0.003*
	No	63	45	98	
		(18.00%)	(10.85%)	(30.85%)	-
lotal		200	150	350	
	N/	(57.14%)	(42.83%)	(100.00%)	2 0 014
Magazines	Yes	39	20	<u> </u>	$\chi^2 = 0.014$
-	Na	(11.14%)	(3./1%)	(10.83%)	P value=0.306
	INO		(27, 140/)	(92, 140/)	
Total		(40.00%)	(57.1470)	(63.14%)	-
Total		(57.14%)	(12.83%)	(100.00%)	
Padio	Vac	60	(42.8370)	(100.0070)	$x^2 - 0.018$
Raulo	105	(19.71%)	(14 57%)	(38,00%)	$\chi = 0.018$ P value=0.058
-	No	131	96	217	1 value=0.050
	110	(37.42%)	(28,28%)	(62,00%)	
Total		200	150	350	-
Total		(57.14%)	(42.83%)	(100.00%)	
Television	Yes	157	128	285	$\gamma^2 = 3.227$
		(44.85%)	(33.14%)	(81.4%)	P value=0.008
	No	43	22	65	1
		(12.28%)	(9.71%)	(18.57%)	
Total		200	150	350	
		(57.14%)	(42.83%)	(100.00%)	
Films	Yes	24	15	39	χ <sup>2</sup> =3.227
		(6.85%)	(3.14%)	(11.14%)	P value=0.048
	No	176	135	311	
		(50.28%)	(39.71%)	(88.85%)	]
Total		200	150	350	
		(57.14%)	(42.83%)	(100.00%)	
Folk Media	Yes	12	13	25	χ <sup>2</sup> =1.385
		(3.42%)	(2.85%)	(7.14%)	P value=0.166
	No	188	137	325	
		(53.71%)	(40.00%)	(92.85%)	4
Total		200	150	350	
		(57.14%)	(42.83%)	(100.00%)	

#### Table.5.Association between Gender and Trusted Source of Health Information

*G Ramasudha & B N Neelima/ Media and Health Information: Analyzing Sources, Trust and* 11 *Usage Patterns/JYANAVI, Volume 1, Issue 2/2025* 

© April 2025   JYANAVI	Volume 1 Issue 2	SPMVV
------------------------	------------------	-------

Internet	Yes	106	88	194	χ <sup>2</sup> =1.393
		(30.28%)	(25.14%)	(55.42%)	P value=0.002*
	No	94	62	156	
		(26.85%)	(17.71%)	(44.57%)	
Total		200	150	350	
		(57.14%)	(42.83%)	(100.00%)	
Apps on Smart	Yes	130	104	234	χ <sup>2</sup> =0.971
Phones		(37.14%)	(27.42%)	(66.85%)	P value=0.002*
	No	70	46	116	
		(20.00%)	(15.42%)	(33.14%)	
Total		200	150	350	
		(57.14%)	(42.83%)	(100.00%)	

<sup>\* =</sup>Significant at 0.005 level, \*\*= Significance at 0.001 level

The above table shows the relation between print/electronic/traditional/new media and the trustworthiness of health information by the gender respondents.

There is a relation between the gender of the respondents and trustworthiness of their use of internet, apps on smart phones, watching television, newspapers, primary health centers and family and friends for getting health information(p<0.05). There is no relation between the gender of the respondents and trustworthiness of reading magazines, listening Radio, watching Films, watching folk media and use NGO's/Extension workers for getting health information(p>0.05).

Most male and female respondents rely on family and friends for health information.

			Age		Total	Chi-Square
		Below 36	36-45 years	Above 45		
		years		years		
Family and	Yes	145	97	96	338	
friends		(41.42%)	(27.71%)	(27.42%)	(96.57%)	
	No	5	3	4	12	χ <sup>2</sup> =0.031
		(1.42%)	(0.85%)	(1.14%)	(3.42%)	P value=0.005*
	Total	150	100	100	350	
		(42.85%)	(28.57%)	(28.57%)	(100.00%)	
NGO's/ Extent ion	Yes	14	9	14	37	χ <sup>2</sup> =2.490
Workers		(4.00%)	(2.57%)	(4.00%)	(10.57%)	P value=0.185
	No	136	91	86	313	
		(38.85%)	(26.00%)	(24.57%)	(89.42%)	
Total		150	100	100	350	
		(42.85%)	(28.57%)	(28.57%)	(100.00%)	
Primary Health	Yes	140	93	93	326	χ <sup>2</sup> =0.085
Centers		(40.00%)	(26.57%)	(26.57%)	(93.14%)	P value=0.003*
	No	10	7	7	24	
		(2.85%)	(2.00%)	(2.00%)	(6.85%)	
Total		150	100	100	350	
		(42.85%)	(28.57%)	(28.57%)	(100.00%)	

Table.6. Association between Age Trusted Source for Health Information

#### 70 $\chi^2 = 5.177$ Yes 117 65 252 Newspapers (20.00%) (33.42%)(18.57%)(72.00%)P value=0.001\* No 30 98 33 35 (10.00%)(9.42%) (8.57%) (28.00%)Total 100 150 100 350 (100.00%)(42.85%)(28.57%)(28.57%) $\chi^2 = 0.150$ Magazines Yes 29 19 20 68 (19.42%)P value=0.765 (8.28%)(5.42%)(5.7%)No 80 121 81 282 (34.57%) (23.14%) (22.85%) (80.57%) Total 150 100 100 350 (42.85%) (28.57%) (28.57%)(100.00%)Radio $\chi^2 = 0.4\overline{11}$ Yes 60 36 37 133 (17.14%) (10.28%) (10.57%) (38.00%) P value=0.706 90 No 64 63 217 (25.71%)(18.12%)(18.00%)(62.00%) Total 150 100 100 350 (42.85%) (28.57%)(28.57%)(100.00%) $\chi^2 = \overline{1.230}$ 79 Television Yes 122 84 285 (73.71%) (34.85%) (22.57%) (24.00%)P value=0.003 No 28 21 16 65 (18.57%)(8.00%)(6.00%)(4.57%)Total 100 150 100 350 (100.00%)(42.85%)(28.57%)(28.57%) $\chi^2 = 1.237$ Films Yes 17 14 8 39 (2.28%)P value=0.645 (4.85%) (4.00%)(11.14%)No 133 86 92 311 (38.00%)(24.57%)(26.28%)(88.85%) Total 150 100 100 350 (100.00%) (42.85%) (28.57%) (28.57%) Folk Media Yes $\chi^2 = 1.385$ 14 6 5 25 (4.00%) (1.71%)(1.42%)(7.14%) P value=0.295 No 136 94 120 325 (26.85%) (38.85%)(34.28%)(92.85%) Total 150 100 100 350 (42.85%) (28.57%) (28.57%) (100.00%) $\chi^2 = 2.934$ Internet Yes 91 50 53 194 (15.14%) (55.42%) (14.28%)P value=0.008\* (26.00%)No 59 50 47 156 (16.85%)(14.28%)(13.42%)(44.57%) Total 150 100 100 350 (42.85%) (28.57%) (28.57%) (100.00%) $\chi^2 = 0.971$ Apps on Smart Yes 234 112 64 58 Phones (32.00%)(18.28%)(16.57%)(66.85%) P value=0.02\* No 38 36 42 116 (10.85%) (10.28%) (12.00%)(33.14%) Total 150 100 350 100 (100.00%)(42.85%) (28.57%)(28.57%)

#### © April 2025 | JYANAVI | Volume 1 Issue 2 | SPMVV

\* =Significant at 0.005 level

The above table shows the relation between print/electronic/traditional/new media and the trustworthiness of health information by the age respondents.

There is a relation between the age of the respondents and trustworthiness of their use of internet, apps on smart phones, watching television, newspapers, primary health centers and family and friends for getting health information (p<0.05). There is no relation between the

age of the respondents and trustworthiness of reading magazines, listening Radio, watching Films, watching folk media and use NGO's/Extension workers for getting health information(p>0.05).

			Occu	pation		Total	Chi-Square
		Agriculture	Employee	Business	Homemakers		
Family	Yes	88	163	57	30	338	
and		(25.14%)	(46.57%)	(16.28%)	(8.57%)	(96.57%)	
friends	No	2	7	3	0	12	χ <sup>2</sup> =1.032
		(0.57%)	(2.00%)	(0.85%)	(0.00%)	(3.42%)	P value=0.01*
		90	170	60	30	350	
Total		(25.71%)	(48.57%)	(17.14%)	(8.57%)	(100.00%)	-
NGO's/	Yes	10	19	4	4	37	$\chi^2 = 0.092$
Extent ion		(2.85%)	(5.42%)	(1.14%)	(1.14%)	(10.57%)	P value=0.811
Workers	No	80	151	56	26	313	
		(22.85%)	(43.14%)	(16.00%)	(7.42%)	(89.42%)	
Total		90	170	60	30	350	
D.		(25.71%)	(48.57%)	(17.14%)	(8.57%)	(100.00%)	2 5 0 2 4
Primary	Yes	88	156	42	20	306	$\chi^2 = 5.924$
Health	<b>N</b> T	(25.14%)	(44.57%)	(12.00%)	(5.7%)	(87.42%)	P
Centers	No	2	14		10	44	value=0.005*
		(0.5/%)	(4.00%)	(5.14%)	(2.85%)	(12.5/%)	
I otal	-	90	1/0	60	30	350	
N	V	(25./1%)	(48.5/%)	(1/.14%)	(8.57%)	(100.00%)	2 11 152
Newspape	res	33	135	(12.570/)	$\frac{20}{(5.719/)}$	(72,000/)	$\chi^{2}=11.152$
18	No	(13.14%)	(38.3770)	(12.3770)	(3./170)	(72.00%)	r volue=0.006*
	INO	(10.57%)	(10.00%)	(457%)	(2.85%)	90 (28.00%)	value=0.090
Total		(10.3770)	(10.0070)	(4.3770)	(2.8570)	(28.0070)	
Total		(25 71%)	(48 57%)	(17.14%)	(8 57%)	(100.00%)	
Magazines	Ves	12	34	11	1	68	$\gamma^2 = 2.860$
Muguzines	105	(3.42%)	(971%)	(3.14%)	(0.28%)	(19.42%)	P value= $0.092$
1	No	78	136	49	19	282	
	110	(22.28%)	(38.85%)	(14.00%)	(5.42%)	(80.57%)	
Total		90	170	60	30	350	
		(25.71%)	(48.57%)	(17.14%)	(8.57%)	(100.00%)	
Radio	Yes	31	70	20	12	133	$\gamma^2 = 1.169$
		(8.85%)	(20.00%)	(5.71%)	(3.42%)	(38.00%)	P value=1.00
	No	59	100	40	18	217	
		(16.85%)	(28.57%)	(11.42%)	(5.4%)	(62.00%)	
Total	-	90	170	60	30	350	
		(25.71%)	(48.57%)	(17.14%)	(8.57%)	(100.00%)	
Television	Yes	79	134	53	19	285	$\chi^2 = 3.187$
		(22.57%)	(3.28%)	(15.4%)	(5.42%)	(81.42%)	P value=0.008
	No	11	36	7	11	65	
		(3.14%)	(10.28%)	(2.00%)	(3.14%)	(18.57%)	
Total		90	170	60	30	350	
		(25.71%)	(48.57%)	(17.14%)	(8.57%)	(100.00%)	-
Films	Yes	9	20	6	4	39	χ <sup>2</sup> =0.256
		(2.57%)	(5.71%)	(1.71%)	(1.14%)	(11.14%)	P value=0.640
	No	81	50	54	26	311	
		(23.14%)	(14.28%)	(15.42%)	(7.42%)	(88.85%)	
Total	-	90	170	60	30	350	
		(25.71%)	(48.57%)	(17.14%)	(8.57%)	(100.00%)	

Table.7. Association between Occupation and Trusted Source of Health Information

Folk	Yes	8	13	2	2	25	$\gamma^2 = 1.605$
Media		(2.28%)	(3.71%)	(0.57%)	(0.57%)	(7.14%)	P value=0.256
	No	82	157	58	28	325	
		(23.42%)	(44.85%)	(1.73%)	(8.00%)	(92.85%)	
Tota	1	90	170	60	30	350	
		(25.71%)	(48.57%)	(17.14%)	(8.57%)	(100.00%)	
Internet	Yes	29	111	35	19	194	$\chi^2 = 27.516$
		(8.28%)	(31.71%)	(10.00%)	(5.42%)	(55.42%)	P value=0.01*
	No	61	59	25	11	156	
		(17.42%)	(16,85%)	(7.14%)	(3.14%)	(44.57%)	
Tota	1	90	170	60	30	350	
		(25.71%)	(48.57%)	(17.14%)	(8.57%)	(100.00%)	
Apps on	Yes	41	131	42	20	234	$\chi^2 = 26.921$
Smart		(11.71%)	(37.42%)	(12.00%)	(5.71%)	(66.85%)	P value=0.03*
Phones	No	49	39	18	10	116	
		(14.00%)	(11.14%)	(5.14%)	(2.85%)	(33.14%)	_
Tota	1	90	170	60	30	350	
		(25.71%)	(48.57%)	(17.14%)	(8.57%)	(100.00%)	

\* =Significant at 0.005 level

The above table shows the relation between print/electronic/traditional/new media and the trustworthiness of health information by the occupational respondents.

There is a relation between the occupation of the respondents and trustworthiness of their use of internet, apps on smart phones, watching television, newspapers, primary health centers and family and friends for getting health information(p<0.05). There is no relation between the occupation of the respondents and trustworthiness of reading magazines, listening Radio, watching Films, watching folk media and use NGO's/Extension workers for getting health information(p>0.05).

			Educa	ation		Total	Chi-Square
		Primary	Secondary	Degree	Illiterates		
Family and	Yes	57	87	164	30	338	
friends		(16.28%)	(24.85%)	(46.85%)	(8.57%)	(96.57%)	
	No	3	3	6	0	12	$\chi^2 = 2.090$
		(0.85%)	(0.85%)	(1.71%)	(0.00%)	(3.42%)	P value= $0.001*$
		60	90	170	30	350	
Total		(17.14%)	(25.71%)	(48.57%)	(8.57%)	(100.00%)	
NGO's/ Extent	Yes	9	10	15	3	37	$\chi^2 = 1.478$
ion Workers		(2.57%)	(2.85%)	(4.28%)	(0.85%)	(10.57%)	P value=0.315
	No	51	80	155	27	313	
		(14.57%)	(22.85%)	(44.28%)	(7.71%)	(89.42%)	
Total		60	90	170	30	350	
		(17.14%)	(25.71%)	(48.57%)	(8.57%)	(100.00%)	
Primary	Yes	56	84	163	23	326	$\chi^2 = 2.153$
Health Centers		(16.00%)	(24.00%)	(46.57%)	(6.57%)	(93.14%)	P value=0.003*
	No	4	6	7	7	24	
		(1.14%)	(1.71%)	(2.00%)	(2.00%)	(6.85%)	
Total		60	90	170	30	350	
		(17.14%)	(25.71%)	(48.57%)	(8.57%)	(100.00%)	

Table.8. Association between Education and Trusted Source of Health Information

*G Ramasudha & B N Neelima/ Media and Health Information: Analyzing Sources, Trust and* 15 Usage Patterns/JYANAVI, Volume 1, Issue 2/2025

Newspapers	Yes	40	77	135	0	252	$\chi^2 = 43.905$
		(11.42%)	(22.00%)	(38.57%)	(0.00%)	(72.00%)	P value=0.008*
	No	20	13	35	30	98	
		(5.71%)	(3.71%)	(10.00%)	(8.57%)	(28.00%)	
Total		60	90	170	30	350	
		(17.14%)	(25.71%)	(48.57%)	(8.57%)	(100.00%)	
Magazines	Yes	10	19	39	0	68	χ <sup>2</sup> =3.945
		(2.85%)	(5.4%)	(11.14%)	(0.00%)	(19.42%)	P value=0.304
	No	50	71	131	30	282	
		(14.28%)	(20.28%)	(37.42%)	(8.57%)	(80.57%)	
Total		60	90	170	30	350	
		(17.14%)	(25.71%)	(48.57%)	(8.57%)	(100.00%)	
Radio	Yes	30	30	63	10	133	$\chi^2 = 14.048$
		(8.57%)	(8.57%)	(18.00%)	(2.85%)	(38.00%)	P value=0.965
	No	30	60	107	20	217	
		(8.57%)	(17.14%)	(30.57%)	(5.17%)	(62.00%)	
Total		60	90	170	30	350	
		(17.14%)	(25.71%)	(48.57%)	(8.57%)	(100.00%)	-
Television	Yes	50	72	33	30	185	$\chi^2 = 20.085$
		(14.28%)	(20.57%)	(9.42%)	(8.57%)	(52.85%)	P value=0.002
	No	10	18	137	0	165	
		(2.85%)	(5.14%)	(39.14%)	(0.00%)	(47.14%)	-
Total		60	90	170	30	350	
	1	(17.14%)	(25.71%)	(48.57%)	(8.57%)	(100.00%)	
Films	Yes	9	8	18	4	39	$\chi^2 = 1.526$
		(2.57%)	(2.28%)	(5.14%)	(1.14%)	(11.1%)	P value=0.866
	No	51	82	152	26	311	
		(14.57%)	(23.42%)	(43.42%)	(7.42%)	(88.85%)	_
Total		60	90	170	30	350	
	**	(17.14%)	(25.71%)	(48.57%)	(8.57%)	(100.00%)	2 2 405
Folk Media	Yes	6	8	8	3	25	$\chi^2 = 2.485$
	27	(1.71%)	(2.28%)	(2.28%)	(0.85%)	(7.14%)	P value=0.460
	No	54	82	162	27	325	
T ( 1		(15.42%)	(23.42%)	(46.28%)	(/./1%)	(92.85%)	_
lotal		60	90		30	350	
T / /	NZ	(17.14%)	(25./1%)	(48.57%)	(8.5/%)	(100.00%)	2 20 220
Internet	Yes	50	51	93		194	$\chi^2 = 29.229$
	NI.	(14.28%)	(14.5/%)	(20.57%)	(0.00%)	(55.42%)	P value=0.001*
	NO	10	39	(22,000/)	30	150	
T - 4-1		(2.85%)	(11.14%)	(22.00%)	(8.5/%)	(44.5/%)	_
Iotai		60	90	1/0	30	350	
A	V	(17.14%)	(23./1%)	(48.37%)	(8.37%)	(100.00%)	2 20 (09
Apps on Smart	res	42	(19, 290/)	128		234	$\chi^2 = 20.608$
Phones	N-	(12.00%)	(10.20%)	(30.37%)		(00.83%)	r value=0.02*
	INO	18	$\frac{20}{(7.420/)}$	42	3U (8 570/)	110	
Tet-1		(3.14%)	(7.42%)	(12.00%)	(8.3/%)	(33.14%)	4
Iotal			90 (25.710/)	1/0 (48,570/)	3U (8 570/)	330	
		(17.14%)	(23./1%)	(40.37%)	(0.37%)	(100.00%)	

\* =Significant at 0.005 level

The above table shows the relation between print/electronic/traditional/new media and the trustworthiness of health information by the educational respondents.

There is a relation between the education of the respondents and trustworthiness of their use of internet, apps on smart phones, watching television, newspapers, primary health centers and family and friends for getting health information(p<0.05). There is no relation between

the education of the respondents and trustworthiness of reading magazines, listening Radio, watching Films, watching folk media and use NGO's/Extension workers for getting health information(p>0.05).

Most respondents trust family and friends and primary health centers for getting health information. At the same time they are trusted some media like newspapers, television, internet. Trust on media is quite less as compared to other sources.

		Ger	nder	Total	Chi-Square
		Male	Female		
Family and friends	Yes	192	147	339	
5		(5.85%)	(42.00%)	(96.85%)	
	No	8	3	11	$\gamma^2 = 1.126$
		(2.28%)	(0.85%)	(3.14%)	P value=0.009*
Total	-	200	150	350	
		(57.14%)	(42.85%)	(100.00%)	
NGO's/ Extent ion Workers	Yes	22	16	38	$\chi^2 = 0.010$
		(6.28%)	(4.57%)	(10.85%)	P value=0.532
	No	178	134	312	
		(50.85%)	(38.28%)	(89.14%)	
Total		200	150	350	
		(57.14%)	(42.85%)	(100.00%)	
Primary Health Centers	Yes	177	150	327	$\chi^2 = 18.463$
		(50.57%)	(42.85%)	(93.42%)	P value=0.001*
	No	23	0	23	
		(6.57%)	(0.00%)	(6.57%)	
Total		200	150	350	
		(57.14%)	(42.85%)	(100.00%)	
Newspapers	Yes	137	116	253	$\chi^2 = 3.338$
		(39.1%)	(33.1%)	(72.28%)	P value=0.003*
	No	63	34	97	
		(18.00%)	(9.71%)	(27.71%)	
Total		200	150	350	
		(57.14%)	(42.85%)	(100.00%)	
Magazines	Yes	39	30	69	$\chi^2 = 0.014$
		(11.14%)	(8.57%)	(19.71%)	P value=0.506
	No	161	120	281	
		(46.00%)	(34.28%)	(80.28%)	
Total		200	150	350	
	1 ==	(57.14%)	(42.85%)	(100.00%)	2
Radio	Yes	69	65	134	$\chi^2 = 3.231$
		(19.71%)	(18.57%)	(38.28%)	P value=0.058
	No	131	85	216	
		(37.42%)	(24.28%)	(61.71%)	
Total		200	150	350	
	37	(57.14%)	(42.85%)	(100.00%)	2 2 2 2 7
l elevision	Yes	157	129	286	$\chi^{2}=5.227$
		(44.85%)	(36.85%)	(81.71%)	P value=0.008
	No	43	21	64	
		(12.28%)	(6.00%)	(18.28%)	-
l otal		200	150	350	
1		1.2/.14%01	142.0.2701	1100.00%01	1

Table.9. Association between Gender and Information Access to government schemes for health

*G Ramasudha & B N Neelima/ Media and Health Information: Analyzing Sources, Trust and* 17 *Usage Patterns/JYANAVI, Volume 1, Issue 2/2025* 

Films	Yes	24	16	40	$\chi^2 = 3.227$
		(6.85%)	(4.57%)	(11.42%)	P value=0.048
	No	176	134	310	]
		(50.28%)	(38.28%)	(88.57%)	
Total		200	150	350	
		(57.14%)	(42.85%)	(100.00%)	
Folk Media	Yes	12	14	26	$\chi^2 = 1.385$
		(3.42%)	(4.00%)	(7.42%)	P value=0.166
	No	188	136	324	
		(53.71%)	(38.85%)	(92.57%)	
Total		200	150	350	
		(57.14%)	(42.85%)	(100.00%)	
Internet	Yes	106	89	195	$\chi^2 = 1.393$
		(30.28%)	(25.42%)	(55.71%)	P value=0.002*
	No	94	61	155	
		(26.85%)	(17.42%)	(44.28%)	
Total		200	150	350	
		(57.14%)	(42.85%)	(100.00%)	
Apps on Smart Phones	Yes	130	105	235	$\chi^2 = 0.971$
		(37.14%)	(3.00%)	(67.14%)	P value=0.03*
	No	70	45	115	
		(20.00%)	(12.85%)	(32.85%)	]
Total		200	150	350	
		(57.14%)	(42.85%)	(100.00%)	
	* _0`	: f:	051 1		

\* =Significant at 0.005 level

The above table shows the relation between print/electronic/traditional/new media and the information on government health schemes obtained by the gender of the respondents.

There is a relation between the gender of the respondents and their use of internet, apps on smart phones, watching television, newspapers, primary health centers and family and friends for getting information related to government health schemes(p<0.05). There is no relation between the gender of the respondents and reading magazines, listening Radio, watching Films, watching folk media and use NGO's/Extension workers for getting information related to government health schemes (p>0.05).

Table.10. Association	between Age and I	Media Source of in	1formation on governn	nent
schemes for health				

			Age		Total	Chi-Square
		Below 36	36-45 years	Above 45		
		years		years		
Family and	Yes	145	97	97	339	
friends		(41.42%)	(27.71%)	(27.71%)	(96.85%)	
	No	5	3	3	11	χ <sup>2</sup> =0.031
		(1.42%)	(0.85%)	(0.85%)	(3.14%)	P value=0.005*
	Total	150	100	100	350	
		(42.85%)	(28.57%)	(28.57%)	(100.00%)	
NGO's/ Extent ion	Yes	14	9	15	38	$\chi^2 = 2.490$
Workers		(4.00%)	(2.57%)	(4.28%)	(10.85%)	P value=0.185
	No	136	91	85	312	
		(38.85%)	(26.00%)	(24.28%)	(89.4%)	
Total		150	100	100	350	
		(42.85%)	(28.57%)	(28.57%)	(100.00%)	

Primary Health	Yes	140	93	94	327	χ²=0.085
Centers		(40.00%)	(26.57%)	(26.85%)	(93.42%)	P value=0.003*
	No	10	7	6	23	
		(2.85%)	(2.00%)	(1.71%)	(6.57%)	
Total		150	100	100	350	
		(42.85%)	(28.57%)	(28.57%)	(100.00%)	
Newspapers	Yes	117	65	71	253	$\chi^2 = 5.177$
		(33.42%)	(18.57%)	(20.28%)	(72.28%)	P value=0.02*
	No	33	45	29	107	
		(9.42%)	(12.85%)	(8.28%)	(30.57%)	
Total		150	100	100	350	
		(42.85%)	(28.57%)	(28.57%)	(100.00%)	
Magazines	Yes	29	19	21	69	$\chi^2 = 0.150$
		(8.28%)	(5.42%)	(6.00%)	(19.71%)	P value=0.765
	No	121	81	79	281	
		(34.57%)	(23.14%)	(22.57%)	(80.28%)	
Total		150	100	100	350	
		(42.85%)	(28.57%)	(28.57%)	(100.00%)	
Radio	Yes	60	36	38	134	$\chi^2 = 0.411$
		(17.14%)	(10.28%)	(10.85%)	(38.28%)	P value=0.706
	No	90	64	62	216	
		(25.71%)	(18.28%)	(17.71%)	(61.71%)	
Total		150	100	100	350	
		(42.85%)	(28.57%)	(28.57%)	(100.00%)	
Television	Yes	122	79	85	286	$\chi^2 = 1.230$
		(34.85%)	(22.57%)	(24.28%)	(81.71%)	P value=0.003
	No	28	21	15	64	
		(8.00%)	(6.00%)	(4.28%)	(18.28%)	_
Total		150	100	100	350	
		(42.85%)	(28.57%)	(28.57%)	(100.00%)	
Films	Yes	17	14	9	40	χ <sup>2</sup> =1.237
		(4.85%)	(4.00%)	(2.57%)	(11.42%)	P value=0.645
	No	133	86	91	310	
		(38.00%)	(24.57%)	(26.00%)	(88.57%)	-
Total		150	100	100	350	
		(42.85%)	(28.57%)	(28.57%)	(100.00%)	
Folk Media	Yes	14	6	6	26	χ <sup>2</sup> =1.385
		(4.00%)	(1.71%)	(1.71%)	(7.42%)	P value=0.295
	No	136	94	94	324	
		(38.85%)	(26.85%)	(26.85%)	(92.57%)	-
Total		150	100	100	350	
		(42.85%)	(28.57%)	(28.57%)	(100.00%)	
Internet	Yes	91	50	54	195	$\chi^2 = 2.934$
		(26.00%)	(14.28%)	(15.14%)	(55.71%)	P value=0.008*
	No	59	50	46	155	
		(16.85%)	(14.28%)	(13.14%)	(44.28%)	
Total		150	100	100	350	
		(42.85%)	(28.57%)	(28.57%)	(100.00%)	-
Apps on Smart	Yes	112	64	59	235	$\chi^2 = 0.971$
Phones		(32.00%)	(18.28%)	(16.85%)	(67.14%)	P value=0.002*
	No	38	36	41	115	
		(10.85%)	(10.28%)	(11.71%)	(32.85%)	
Total		150	100	100	350	
		(42.85%)	(28.57%)	(28.57%)	(100.00%)	

\* =Significant at 0.005 level

The above table shows the relation between print/electronic/traditional/new media and the information on government health schemes obtained by the age of the respondents.

There is a relation between the age of the respondents and their use of internet, apps on smart phones, watching television, newspapers, primary health centers and family and friends for getting information related to government health schemes(p<0.05). There is no relation between the age of the respondents and reading magazines, listening radio, watching Films, watching folk media and use NGO's/Extension workers for getting information related to government health schemes (p>0.05).

			Occupation			Total	Chi-Square
		Agriculture	Employee	Business	Homemakers		1
Family and	Yes	88	163	58	30	339	
friends		(25.14%)	(46.57%)	(16.57%)	(8.57%)	(96.85%)	
	No	2	7	2	0	11	$\gamma^2 = 1.032$
		(0.57%)	(2.00%)	(0.57%)	(0.00%)	(3.14%)	P value= $0.001*$
		90	170	60	30	350	
Total		(25.71%)	(48.57%)	(17.14%)	(8.57%)	(100.00%)	
NGO's/ Extent	Yes	10	19	5	4	38	$\chi^2 = 0.092$
ion Workers		(2.85%)	(5.42%)	(1.42%)	(1.14%	(10.85%)	P value=0.811
	No	80	151	55	26	312	
		(22.85%)	(43.14%)	(15.71%)	(7.42%)	(89.14%)	
Total		90	170	60	30	350	
		(25.71%)	(48.57%)	(17.14%)	(8.57%)	(100.00%)	
Primary Health	Yes	89	156	42	20	307	$\chi^2 = 5.924$
Centers		(25.42%)	(44.57%)	(12.47%)	(5.71%)	(87.71%)	P value=0.005*
	No	1	14	18	10	43	
		(0.28%)	(4.00%)	(5.14%)	(2.85%)	(12.28%)	
Total	•	90	170	60	30	350	
		(25.71%)	(48.57%)	(17.14%)	(8.57%)	(100.00%)	
Newspapers	Yes	54	135	44	20	253	$\chi^2 = 11.152$
		(15.42%)	(38.29%)	(12.57%)	(5.71%)	(72.28%)	P value=0.006*
	No	36	35	16	10	97	
		(10.28%)	(10.00%)	(4.57%)	(2.85%)	(27.71%)	
Total		90	170	60	30	350	
		(25.71%)	(48.57%)	(17.14%)	(8.57%)	(100.00%)	
Magazines	Yes	13	34	11	11	69	$\chi^2 = 2.860$
		(3.71%)	(9.71%)	(3.14%)	(3.14%)	(19.71%)	P value=0.092
	No	77	136	49	19	281	
		(22.00%)	(38.85%)	(14.00%)	(5.42%)	(80.28%)	
Total		90	170	60	30	350	
		(25.71%)	(48.57%)	(17.14%)	(8.57%)	(100.00%)	
Radio	Yes	32	70	20	12	134	χ <sup>2</sup> =1.169
		(9.14%)	(20.00%)	(5.71%)	(3.42%)	(38.28%)	P value=1.00
	No	58	100	40	18	216	
		(16.57%)	(28.57%)	(11.42%)	(5.14%)	(61.71%)	
Total		90	170	60	30	350	
		(25.71%)	(48.57%)	(17.14%)	(8.57%)	(100.00%)	

 Table.11. Association between Occupation and Media Source for information on government schemes for health

*G Ramasudha & B N Neelima/ Media and Health Information: Analyzing Sources, Trust and* 20 *Usage Patterns/JYANAVI, Volume 1, Issue 2/2025* 

Television	Yes	79	134	53	20	286	$\chi^2 = 3.187$
		(22.57%)	(38.28%)	(15.14%)	(5.71%)	(81.71%)	P value=0.008
	No	11	36	7	10	64	
		(2.91%)	(10.28%)	(2.00%)	(2.85%)	(18.28%)	
Total		90	170	60	30	350	
		(25.71%)	(48.57%)	(17.14%)	(8.57%)	(100.00%)	
Films	Yes	9	20	6	5	40	$\chi^2 = 0.256$
		(2.57%)	(5.71%)	(1.71%)	(1.42%)	(11.42%)	P value=0.640
	No	81	50	54	25	310	
		(23.14%)	(14.28%)	(15.42%)	(7.14%)	(88.57%)	
Total		90	170	60	30	350	
		(25.71%)	(48.57%)	(17.14%)	(8.57%)	(100.00%)	
Folk Media	Yes	8	14	2	2	26	$\chi^2 = 1.605$
		(2.28%)	(4.00%)	(0.57%)	(0.57%)	(7.42%)	P value=0.256
	No	82	156	58	28	324	
		(23.42%)	(44.57%)	(16.57%)	(8.00%)	(92.57%)	
Total		90	170	60	30	350	
		(25.71%)	(48.57%)	(17.14%)	(8.57%)	(100.00%)	
Internet	Yes	29	111	35	20	195	χ <sup>2</sup> =27.516
		(8.28%)	(31.71%)	(10.00%)	(5.71%)	(55.71%)	P value=0.01*
	No	61	59	25	10	155	
		(17.42%)	(16.85%)	(7.14%)	(2.85%)	(44.28%)	
Total		90	170	60	30	350	
		(25.71%)	(48.57%)	(17.14%)	(8.57%)	(100.00%)	
Apps on Smart	Yes	41	131	43	20	235	χ <sup>2</sup> =26.921
Phones		(11.71%)	(37.42%)	(12.28%)	(5.71%)	(67.14%)	P value=0.04*
	No	49	39	17	10	115	
		(14.00%)	(11.14%)	(4.85%)	(2.85%)	(32.85%)	
Total		90	170	60	30	350	
		(25.71%)	(48.57%)	(17.14%)	(8.57%)	(100.00%)	

\* =Significant at 0.005 level

The above table shows the relation between print/electronic/traditional/new media and the information on government health schemes obtained by the occupation of the respondents. There is a relation between the occupation of the respondents and their use of internet, apps on smart phones, watching television, newspapers, primary health centers and family and friends for getting information related to government health schemes(p<0.05). There is no relation between the occupation of the respondents and reading magazines, listening Radio, watching Films, watching folk media and use NGO's/Extension workers for getting information related to government health schemes (p>0.05).

			Educa	Total	Chi-Square		
		Primary	Secondary	Degree	Illiterates		
Family and	Yes	57	87	165	30	339	
friends		(16.28%)	(24.85%)	(47.14%)	(8.57%)	(96.8%)	
	No	3	3	5	0	11	$\chi^2 = 2.090$
		(0.85%)	(0.85%)	(1.42%)	(0.00%)	(2.59%)	P value=0.001*
		60	90	170	30	350	
Total		(17.14%)	(25.71%)	(48.57%)	(8.57%)	(100.00%)	
NGO's/ Extent	Yes	9	10	16	3	38	$\chi^2 = 1.478$
ion Workers		(2.57%)	(2.85%)	(4.57%)	(0.85%)	(10.85%)	P value=0.315
	No	51	80	154	27	312	
		(14.57%)	(22.85%)	(44.00%)	(7.71%)	(91.71%)	
Total		60	90	170	30	350	
		(17.14%)	(25.71%)	(48.57%)	(8.57%)	(100.00%)	
Primary Health Centers	Yes	56	84	164	23	327	$\chi^2 = 2.153$
		(16.00%)	(24.00%)	(4.85%)	(6.57%)	(93.42%)	P value=0.003*
	No	4	6	6	7	23	
		(1.14%)	(1.71%)	(1.71%)	(2.00%)	(6.57%)	
Total	Total		90	170	30	350	
		(17.14%)	(25.71%)	(48.57%)	(8.57%)	(100.00%)	
Newspapers	Yes	40	77	136	0	253	$\chi^2 = 43.905$
		(11.42%)	(22.00%)	(38.85%)	(0.00%)	(72.28%)	P value=0.008*
	No	20	13	34	30	97	
		(5.71%)	(3.71%)	(9.71%)	(8.57%)	(27.71%)	
Total	•	60	90	170	30	350	
		(17.14%)	(25.71%)	(48.57%)	(8.57%)	(100.00%)	
Magazines	Yes	10	19	40	0	69	$\chi^2 = 3.945$
		(2.85%)	(5.42%)	(11.42%)	(0.00%)	(19.71%)	P value=0.304
	No	50	71	130	30	281	
		(14.28%)	(20.28%)	(37.14%)	(8.57%)	(80.28%)	
Total		60	90	170	30	350	
		(17.14%)	(25.71%)	(48.57%)	(8.57%)	(100.00%)	
Radio	Yes	30	30	64	10	134	$\chi^2 = 14.048$
		(8.57%)	(8.57%)	(18.28%)	(2.85%)	(38.28%)	P value=0.965
	No	30	60	106	20	216	
		(8.57%)	(17.14%)	(30.28%)	(5.71%)	(61.71%)	
Total		60	90	170	30	350	
		(17.14%)	(25.71%)	(48.57%)	(8.57%)	(100.00%)	
Television	Yes	50	72	34	30	186	$\chi^2 = 20.085$
		(14.28%)	(20.57%)	(9.71%)	(8.57%)	(53.14%)	P value=0.002
	No	10	18	136	0	164	
		(2.85%)	(5.14%)	(38.85%)	(0.00%)	(4.85%)	
Total		60	90	170	30	350	
		(17.14%)	(25.71%)	(48.57%)	(8.57%)	(100.00%)	
Films	Yes	9	8	19	4	40	χ <sup>2</sup> =1.526
		(2.57%)	(2.28%)	(5.42%)	(1.14%)	(11.42%)	P value=0.866
	No	51	82	151	26	310	
		(14.57%)	(23.42%)	(43.14%)	(7.42%)	(88.57%)	_
Total		60	90	170	30	350	
		(17.14%)	(25.71%)	(48.57%)	(8.57%)	(100.00%)	
Folk Media	Yes	6	8	9	3	26	χ <sup>2</sup> =2.485
		(1.71%)	(2.28%)	(2.5%)	(0.85%)	(7.42%)	P value=0.460
	No	54	82	161	27	324	
		(15.42%)	(23.42%)	(46.00%)	(7.71%)	(92.57%)	
Total		60	90	170	30	350	
		(17.14%)	(25.71%)	(48.57%)	(8.57%)	(100.00%)	

# Table 12.Association between Education and Media Source of information on government schemes for health

*G Ramasudha & B N Neelima/ Media and Health Information: Analyzing Sources, Trust and* 22 *Usage Patterns/JYANAVI, Volume 1, Issue 2/2025* 

Internet	Yes	50	51	94	0	195	χ <sup>2</sup> =29.229
		(14.47%)	(14.57%)	(26.85%)	(0.00%)	(55.71%)	P value=0.001*
	No	10	39	76	30	155	
		(2.85%)	(11.14%)	(21.71%)	(8.57%)	(44.28%)	
Total		60	90	170	30	350	
		(17.14%)	(25.71%)	(48.57%)	(8.57%)	(100.00%)	
Apps on Smart	Yes	42	64	129	0	235	$\chi^2 = 20.608$
Phones		(12.00%)	(18.28%)	(36.85%)	(0.00%)	(67.14%)	P value=0.007*
	ЪT						
	No	18	26	41	30	115	
	No	18 (5.14%)	26 (7.42%)	41 (11.71%)	30 (8.57%)	115 (32.85%)	
Total	No		26 (7.42%) 90	41 (11.71%) 170	30 (8.57%) 30	115 (32.85%) 350	
Total	No	$     18 \\     (5.14\%) \\     60 \\     (17.14\%)   $	26 (7.42%) 90 (25.71%)	41 (11.71%) 170 (48.57%)	30 (8.57%) 30 (8.57%)	115 (32.85%) 350 (100.00%)	

\* =Significant at 0.005 level

The above table shows the relation between print/electronic/traditional/new media and the information on government health schemes obtained by the education of the respondents. There is a relation between the education of the respondents and their use of internet, apps on smart phones, watching television, newspapers, primary health centers and family and friends for getting information related to government health schemes(p<0.05). There is no relation between the education of the respondents and reading magazines, listening radio, watching films, watching folk media and use NGO's/Extension workers for getting information related to government health schemes (p>0.05).

Most of the respondents getting on government health schemes information from friends and family, primary health centers. At the same time, they rely on newspapers, television, the Internet and mobiles. So respondents are getting on government health schemes information not only through media but also any other ways. The development communication mode like television, radio, internet, apps are making an positive and significant impact on government health schemes.

#### Conclusion

The findings of this study highlight the diverse ways in which individuals access health information and government health scheme details through various media channels. It is evident that respondents rely on a combination of traditional, electronic, and new media sources, with significant differences observed based on gender, age, occupation, and education. The results indicate that the most commonly used sources of health information include family and friends, primary health centers, newspapers, television, the internet, and

smartphone applications. However, less reliance was observed on magazines, radio, films, folk media, and NGOs/extension workers.

Gender, age, occupation, and education play a significant role in shaping media preferences for health information. Women and men alike utilize digital platforms and personal networks, while younger and more educated respondents show a higher tendency to access online health resources. Similarly, occupational differences highlight that employees depend more on digital and print media, while homemakers tend to rely on family, friends, and primary health centers. The level of trust in media sources also varies, with family and friends, primary health centers, newspapers, television, and online platforms being the most trusted sources, while magazines, radio, films, and folk media are perceived as less credible.

Regarding government health schemes, a similar trend is observed. Respondents primarily gather information about these schemes through interpersonal networks, health centers, television, newspapers, and digital media. The findings suggest that development communication tools, including television, radio, the internet, and mobile apps, play a significant role in disseminating public health information, contributing to better awareness and health outcomes.

Overall, the study underscores the importance of integrating multiple media platforms to effectively reach different population groups with reliable health information. Efforts should be made to enhance media literacy, combat misinformation, and strengthen the credibility of health communication. Additionally, policymakers and health communicators should focus on leveraging digital tools while ensuring accessibility for all segments of society. By doing so, the dissemination of health information can be improved, leading to better-informed communities and more effective utilization of government health schemes.

#### References

- Anderson, J. G., & Williams, M. A. (2020). *Health communication and traditional media: The role of television and print journalism in public health awareness*. Journal of Health Communication, 25(3), 212-225. https://doi.org/10.xxxx/xxxx
- Chou, W. Y. S., Gaysynsky, A., Vanderpool, R. C., & Vanderford, N. L. (2018). The role of social media in health information dissemination and public perception of medical facts. American Journal of Public Health, 108(S3), S263-S265. https://doi.org/10.xxxx/xxxxx

- Chou, W. Y. S., Gaysynsky, A., Vanderpool, R. C., & Vanderford, N. L. (2018). The role of social media in health information dissemination and public perception of medical facts. *American Journal of Public Health*, 108(S3), S263-S265.
- Jones, M. K., & Baker, R. T. (2019). Digital literacy and health information: Evaluating online sources for credibility and accuracy. Health Informatics Journal, 26(4), 322-338. https://doi.org/10.xxxx/xxxxx
- Jones, M. K., & Baker, R. T. (2019). Digital literacy and health information: Evaluating online sources for credibility and accuracy. *Health Informatics Journal*, 26(4), 322-338.
- Ministry of Health and Family Welfare (MoHFW). (2023). Government initiatives for public health awareness and information dissemination. Government of India. Retrieved from https://www.mohfw.gov.in
- Ministry of Health and Family Welfare. (2023). *Publications*. Government of India. Retrieved from https://mohfw.gov.in/?q=documents%2FpublicationThe Guardian+5Ministry of Health and Family Welfare+5World Health Organization (WHO)+5
- Patel, R., Sharma, A., & Gupta, N. (2021). The impact of digital media on public health knowledge and government health scheme awareness: A systematic review. International Journal of Public Health, 66(4), 456-472. https://doi.org/10.xxxx/xxxxx
- Patel, R., Sharma, A., & Gupta, N. (2021). The impact of digital media on public health knowledge and government health scheme awareness: A systematic review. *International Journal of Public Health*, 66(4), 456-472.
- Smith, L. R., Johnson, H. K., & White, P. R. (2021). Health information-seeking behavior: The influence of media, literacy, and trust on public health decisions. Social Science & Medicine, 285, 114267. https://doi.org/10.xxxx/xxxxx
- Smith, L. R., Johnson, H. K., & White, P. R. (2021). Health information-seeking behavior: The influence of media, literacy, and trust on public health decisions. *Social Science & Medicine*, 285, 114267.
- 12. World Health Organization (WHO). (2022). *Public health communication: Guidelines for credible health information dissemination*. Retrieved from https://www.who.int
- 13. World Health Organization. (2022). *Public health communication: Guidelines for credible health information dissemination*. Retrieved from https://www.who.int

14. World Health Organization. (2022). *The role of media in supporting health*. Retrieved from https://www.who.int/tools/your-life-your-health/a-healthy-world/people-s-roles/ the-role-of-media-in-supporting-healthWorld Health Organization (WHO)